

Orthogonality of multi-variable polynomials

Jan Stochel

Lund, June 2006

talk based on the paper:

D. Cichoń, J. Stochel, F. H. Szafraniec, Three term recurrence relation modulo ideal and orthogonality of polynomials of several variables, *Journal of Approximation Theory* **134** (2005), 11-64.

Orthogonal polynomials - single variable.

Theorem 1 (Favard's theorem) *If $\{p_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of real polynomials in a single variable such that $p_0 = 1$, then **TFAE**:*

(i) $\deg p_k = k$ for all $k \geq 0$ and there exists a positive definite linear functional $L : \mathbb{C}[X] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ (equivalently: a Borel measure $\mu \geq 0$ on \mathbb{R}) which orthonormalizes $\{p_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$, i.e.

$$L(p_k p_l) = \delta_{k,l}, \quad k, l \geq 0,$$

$$\text{(equivalently: } \int_{\mathbb{R}} p_k p_l d\mu = \delta_{k,l}, \quad k, l \geq 0, \text{)}$$

(ii) for every $k \geq 0$, there exist $a_k \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and $b_k \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$X p_k = a_k p_{k+1} + b_k p_k + a_{k-1} p_{k-1},$$

where $a_{-1} \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} 1$ and $p_{-1} \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} 0$.

If **(i)** holds, then the closed support $\text{supp } \mu$ of μ is infinite.

Several variables: much more complex.

The very first difficulty:

convenient notation

- related to the order in which the orthonormalization procedure has to be performed
- allowing us to see the recurrence relation as a three term one.

The pioneering attempt: M. A. Kowalski (1982).

Continuation:

Y. Xu (1993, 1994)

M. I. Gekhtman and A. A. Kalyuzhny (1991, 1994).

Further difference:

- the three term recurrence relation (regardless the way the relation is built up) may not determine any orthogonality measure though the functional orthogonality in Favard's Theorem is still preserved.

Lack:

- the three term recurrence relation considered so far forces the Zariski closure of the support of an orthogonalizing measure, provided it exists, to be the whole space \mathbb{R}^N .
- important instances (e.g. sphere) are left out.

Aim: study the case of orthogonalizing measures **not having too massive supports**.

Our point of view : recurrence relations of matrix type satisfied **modulo an ideal**.

Notation and definitions:

\mathbb{C} = complex numbers; \mathbb{R} = real numbers;
 $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$;

$$\mathcal{P}_N \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} \mathbb{C}[X_1, \dots, X_N], \quad \mathcal{R}_N \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} \mathbb{R}[X_1, \dots, X_N],$$
$$\mathcal{P}_N^{\langle k \rangle} \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} \{p \in \mathcal{P}_N : \deg p \leq k\}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N},$$

$\deg p$ = the degree of a polynomial p .

A sequence $\{P_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ of column polynomials is said to be a **rigid basis** of \mathcal{P}_N if $\{P_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is a **column representation** of a (linear) basis of \mathcal{P}_N such that for every $k \geq 0$, $P_k \subseteq \mathcal{P}_N^{\langle k \rangle}$ and

$$\ell(P_k) = \text{card}\{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^N : |\alpha| = k\} = \binom{k + N - 1}{k},$$

where $\ell(P_k)$ is the **length** of P_k (i.e. the number of entries of P_k).

By a **rigid basis** of \mathcal{R}_N we mean a (linear) basis $\{P_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ of \mathcal{R}_N which is simultaneously a rigid basis of \mathcal{P}_N .

Monomials

$$X^\alpha = X_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots X_N^{\alpha_N}, \quad \alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N) \in \mathbb{N}^N,$$

form a rigid basis of \mathcal{R}_N as well as \mathcal{P}_N .

Theorem 2 *Y. Xu (1993)* Let $\{P_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of *real column polynomials* such that $P_0 \neq 0$. Then **TFAE**:

(i) $\{P_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is a *rigid basis* of \mathcal{R}_N for which there exists a linear functional $L : \mathcal{R}_N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $L(P_i P_j^T) = 0$ for all $i \neq j$ and $L(P_k P_k^T)$ is a non-singular matrix for every $k \geq 0$;

(ii) for every $k \geq 0$, there exists a system $A_{k,1}, \dots, A_{k,N}, B_{k,1}, \dots, B_{k,N}, C_{k,1}, \dots, C_{k,N}$ of scalar real matrices such that

(ii-a) $X_j P_k = A_{k,j} P_{k+1} + B_{k,j} P_k + C_{k,j} P_{k-1}$ for all $j = 1, \dots, N$, where $C_{0,j} \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} 1$ and $P_{-1} \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} 0$,

(ii-b) the length of $P_k \leq \binom{k+N-1}{k}$,

(ii-c) $\deg P_k \leq k$, $\deg P_k \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} \max\{\deg p : p \in P_k\}$

(ii-d) the matrix $[C_{k,1}, \dots, C_{k,N}]$ is of maximal rank.

If (i) holds, then for every $p \in \mathcal{R}_N$:

$p = 0$ if and only if $L(pq) = 0$ for all $q \in \mathcal{R}_N$.

If (ii) holds, then the matrix $[A_{k,1}^T, \dots, A_{k,N}^T]^T$ is of maximal rank for all $k \geq 0$.

Notation and definitions:

Let V be a proper ideal in $\mathcal{P}_N \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} \mathbb{C}[X_1, \dots, X_N]$.

If P and Q are column polynomials of the same length and each entry of $P - Q$ belongs to V , then we write $P \stackrel{V}{=} Q$.

Fix an integer $m \geq 1$. A sequence of column polynomials $\{Y_k\}_{k=0}^n$ with entries in \mathcal{P}_N ($0 \leq n \leq \infty$) is said to be a **V -basis of \mathcal{P}_N** if for every column polynomial P of length m , there exists a unique **finite sequence** $\{A_k\}_{k=0}^n$ of scalar matrices with m rows such that

$$P \stackrel{V}{=} \sum_{k=0}^n A_k Y_k.$$

The above definition is independent of m :

Notation and definitions:

V is a proper ideal in \mathcal{P}_N ,

$\Pi_V : \mathcal{P}_N \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_N/V$ is the quotient mapping:

$$\Pi_V(p) \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} p + V, \quad p \in \mathcal{P}_N.$$

We define the sequence $\{d_V(k)\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$:

$$d_V(k) = \begin{cases} \dim \Pi_V(\mathcal{P}_N^{\langle 0 \rangle}) = 1, & k = 0, \\ \dim \Pi_V(\mathcal{P}_N^{\langle k \rangle}) - \dim \Pi_V(\mathcal{P}_N^{\langle k-1 \rangle}), & k \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

and \varkappa_V :

$$\varkappa_V = \sup\{j \geq 0 : d_V(j) \neq 0\} \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}.$$

Properties of $\{d_V(k)\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$:

$$d_V(k+j) \leq N^j d_V(k), \quad k, j \geq 0,$$

$$\{j \in \mathbb{N} : d_V(j) \neq 0\} = \{j \in \mathbb{N} : j \leq \varkappa_V\} = \overline{0, \varkappa_V},$$

$$\varkappa_V = \dim \mathcal{P}_N/V.$$

Notation and definitions:

V is a proper ideal in \mathcal{P}_N ,

A V -basis $\{P_k\}_{k=0}^n$ of \mathcal{P}_N is called **rigid** if

$$\begin{aligned}n &= \varkappa_V, \\P_k &\subseteq \mathcal{P}_N^{\langle k \rangle}, \quad k \in \overline{0, \varkappa_V}, \\l(P_k) &= d_V(k), \quad k \in \overline{0, \varkappa_V}.\end{aligned}$$

If $\{P_k\}_{k=0}^{\varkappa_V}$ is a rigid basis of \mathcal{P}_N , then the degree of each member of P_k is equal to k .

If $V = \{0\}$, then we get the definition of a rigid basis of \mathcal{P}_N .

There exists a special rigid V -basis $\{\Sigma_k^V\}_{k=0}^{\varkappa_V}$ of \mathcal{P}_N composed of monomials, which plays the role of the standard basis of monomials in $\mathbb{C}[X_1, \dots, X_N]$. In particular, we have:

$$\Sigma_{k+1}^V \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^N X_j \Sigma_k^V,$$

here Σ_k^V and Σ_{k+1}^V are interpreted as sets.

Construction of $\{\Sigma_k^V\}_{k=0}^\infty$.

Define a total order \leq on \mathbb{N}^N by:

$\alpha \leq \beta$ if and only if either $|\alpha| < |\beta|$ or $|\alpha| = |\beta|$ and α precedes β with respect to the lexicographic order.

Then write $\alpha < \beta$ if $\alpha \leq \beta$ and $\alpha \neq \beta$.

Recurrence definition:

- $\Sigma_0^V = \{X^0\}$,
- the monomial X^α belongs to Σ_{k+1}^V if and only if $X^\alpha \in \bigcup_{j=1}^N X_j \Sigma_k^V$ and

$$\Pi_V(X^\alpha) \notin \text{lin} \Pi_V \left(\{X^0\} \cup \left\{ X^\beta \in \bigcup_{i=0}^k \bigcup_{j=1}^N X_j \Sigma_i^V : \beta < \alpha \right\} \right).$$

Another important properties of $\{\Sigma_k^V\}_{k=0}^{\varkappa_V}$:

Lemma 3 *If V is a proper ideal in \mathcal{P}_N , then for every $k \in \overline{1, \varkappa_V}$, there exists a column polynomial R_k and an *injective* scalar matrix M_k such that*

$$\begin{bmatrix} X_1 \Sigma_{k-1}^V \\ \vdots \\ X_N \Sigma_{k-1}^V \end{bmatrix} \stackrel{V}{=} M_k \Sigma_k^V + R_k \text{ and } \deg R_k < k. \quad (1)$$

The matrix M_k appearing in (1) is unique.

Proposition 4 *Let V be a proper ideal in \mathcal{P}_N . A sequence $\{Q_k\}_{k=0}^{\varkappa_V}$ of column polynomials is a rigid V -basis of \mathcal{P}_N if and only if the following two conditions hold for every $k \in \overline{0, \varkappa_V}$,*

1° $\deg q \leq k$ for every entry q of Q_k ,

2° *there exists a non-singular scalar matrix G_k and a column polynomial R_k such that*

$$Q_k \stackrel{V}{=} G_k \Sigma_k^V + R_k \text{ and } \deg R_k < k.$$

Notation and definitions:

We equip the algebra \mathcal{P}_N with a unique involution $p \mapsto p^*$ such that $X_i^* = X_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, N$, i.e.

$$\left(\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^N} a_\alpha X^\alpha \right)^* = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^N} \bar{a}_\alpha X^\alpha.$$

If $P = [p_{kl}]_{k=1}^m_{l=1}^n$ with $p_{kl} \in \mathcal{P}_N$, then

$$P^* = [q_{kl}]_{k=1}^n_{l=1}^m \text{ with } q_{kl} = p_{lk}^*.$$

A linear functional $L : \mathcal{P}_N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ can also be considered as a mapping operating on matrix polynomials via

$$L([p_{kl}]_{k=1}^m_{l=1}^n) \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} [L(p_{kl})]_{k=1}^m_{l=1}^n, \quad p_{kl} \in \mathcal{P}_N.$$

For simplicity of notation we do not indicate the dependence of so-defined mappings on the size of matrices.

A linear functional $L : \mathcal{P}_N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is said to be **Hermitian** if $L(p^*) = \overline{L(p)}$ for all $p \in \mathcal{P}_N$.

Given a linear functional $L : \mathcal{P}_N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we set

$$\mathcal{V}_L = \bigcap_{q \in \mathcal{P}_N} \{p \in \mathcal{P}_N : L(pq) = 0\}.$$

\mathcal{V}_L is the **greatest ideal** contained in $\ker L$;

\mathcal{V}_L is a **proper ideal** if and only if L is nonzero.

If L is a **Hermitian** linear functional, then \mathcal{V}_L is a $*$ -ideal (not conversely).

Given a $*$ -ideal V in $\mathbb{C}[X_1, \dots, X_N]$, we set

$$\Xi_k^V = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_0^V \\ \vdots \\ \Sigma_k^V \end{bmatrix}, \quad k \in \overline{0, \kappa_V}.$$

Proposition 5 *Let $L : \mathcal{P}_N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a **nonzero Hermitian** linear functional and let $V = \mathcal{V}_L$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *there exists a **rigid V -basis** $\{Q_k\}_{k=0}^{\kappa_V}$ of \mathcal{P}_N composed of **real column polynomials** such that $L(Q_i Q_j^*) = 0$ for all $i \neq j$,*
- (ii) *there exists a **rigid V -basis** $\{Q_k\}_{k=0}^{\kappa_V}$ of \mathcal{P}_N such that $L(Q_i Q_j^*) = 0$ for all $i \neq j$,*
- (iii) *the matrix $L(\Xi_k^V (\Xi_k^V)^*)$ is **non-singular** for every $k \in \overline{0, \kappa_V}$,*
- (iv) ***rank** $L(\Xi_k^{\{0\}} (\Xi_k^{\{0\}})^*) = d_V(0) + \dots + d_V(k)$ for every integer $k \geq 0$.*

Theorem 6 Let V be a proper $*$ -ideal in \mathcal{P}_N , $L: \mathcal{P}_N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a linear functional and $\{Q_k\}_{k=0}^n$ be a sequence of real column polynomials such that $Q_0 \neq 0$ ($0 \leq n \leq \infty$).

Consider the following two conditions:

(A) $\{Q_k\}_{k=0}^n$ is a *rigid V -basis* of \mathcal{P}_N , $L(Q_i Q_j^*) = 0$ for all $i \neq j$, and $V = \mathcal{V}_L$;

(B) $n = \kappa_V$ and there exist scalar matrices $\{A_{k,j}\}_{k=0}^{\kappa_V} \overset{N}{j=1}$, $\{B_{k,j}\}_{k=0}^{\kappa_V} \overset{N}{j=1}$ and $\{C_{k,j}\}_{k=0}^{\kappa_V} \overset{N}{j=1}$ such that

(B-i) $X_j Q_k \stackrel{V}{=} A_{k,j} Q_{k+1} + B_{k,j} Q_k + C_{k,j} Q_{k-1}$ for all $j \in \overline{1, N}$ and $k \in \overline{0, \kappa_V}$,

(B-ii) $\ell(Q_k) \leq d_V(k)$ for every $k \in \overline{0, \kappa_V}$,

(B-iii) $\deg Q_k \leq k$ for every $k \in \overline{0, \kappa_V}$,

(B-iv) the matrix $[C_{k,1}, \dots, C_{k,N}]$ is of maximal rank for every $k \in \overline{0, \kappa_V}$.

Under the above assumptions

the *conditions (A) and (B) are equivalent.*

$C_{0,j} \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} 1$ and $Q_{-1} \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} 0$;

if $\kappa_V < \infty$, then $A_{\kappa_V,j} \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} [1, \dots, 1]^*$ with $\ell(A_{\kappa_V,j}) = \ell(Q_{\kappa_V})$;

$Q_{\kappa_V+1} \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} 0$;

Moreover the following holds:

1° the conditions (B-i), (B-ii) and (B-iii) imply that the matrix $[A_{k,1}^*, \dots, A_{k,N}^*]^*$ is injective for every $k \in \overline{0, \kappa_V}$, $\{Q_j\}_{j=0}^n$ is a rigid V -basis of \mathcal{P}_N , the linear functional L defined by

$$L|_V = 0, \quad L(Q_0) = Q_0, \quad L(Q_k) = 0 \quad \forall k \in \overline{1, \kappa_V}, \quad (2)$$

is Hermitian and $L(Q_k Q_l^*) = 0$ for all $k \neq l$,

2° the condition (B) implies the non-singularity of $L(Q_k Q_k^*)$ for every $k \in \overline{0, \kappa_V}$, where L is defined by (2),

3° if a linear functional $L' : \mathcal{P}_N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfies (A), then $L'(X^0) \neq 0$ and the functional $\frac{1}{L'(X^0)} L'$ fulfils (2).

There is an interplay between rank and degree.

Orthogonality:

If $L : \mathcal{P}_N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a **positive definite** linear functional, i.e. $L(pp^*) \geq 0$ for all $p \in \mathcal{P}_N$, then

$$\mathcal{V}_L = \{p \in \mathcal{P}_N : L(pp^*) = 0\},$$

where $\mathcal{V}_L = \bigcap_{q \in \mathcal{P}_N} \{p \in \mathcal{P}_N : L(pq) = 0\}$.

Given a linear functional $L : \mathcal{P}_N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we say that a sequence $\{Q_k\}_{k=0}^n$ of column polynomials ($0 \leq n \leq \infty$) is **L -orthonormal** if

$$L(Q_i Q_j^*) = 0, \quad i \neq j,$$

$$L(Q_k Q_k^*) = \text{the identity matrix, } k \in \overline{0, n}.$$

Fact: Each L -orthonormal sequence $\{Q_k\}_{k=0}^n$ is linearly V -independent for any ideal $V \subseteq \mathcal{V}_L$.

Proposition 7 *If $L : \mathcal{P}_N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a nonzero linear functional, then **TFAE**:*

(i) *L is positive definite,*

(ii) *\mathcal{V}_L is a $*$ -ideal and there is a rigid \mathcal{V}_L -basis of \mathcal{P}_N which is L -orthonormal,*

(iii) *\mathcal{V}_L is a $*$ -ideal and there is a \mathcal{V}_L -basis of \mathcal{P}_N which is L -orthonormal,*

(iv) *there is a basis B of \mathcal{P}_N such that $L(pp^*) \in \{0, 1\}$ and $L(qr^*) = 0$ for all $p, q, r \in B$ such that $q \neq r$.*

Remark: If we drop the assumption that \mathcal{V}_L is a $*$ -ideal in any of the conditions (ii) and (iii) of Proposition 7, then the functional L may not be positive definite.

Theorem 8 Let V be a proper $*$ -ideal in \mathcal{P}_N , $L : \mathcal{P}_N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a linear functional and $\{Q_k\}_{k=0}^n$ be a sequence of real column polynomials such that $Q_0 = 1$ ($0 \leq n \leq \infty$).

Consider the following two conditions:

(A) $\{Q_k\}_{k=0}^n$ is a rigid V -basis of \mathcal{P}_N , which is L -orthonormal, and $V \subseteq \ker L$;

(B) $n = \kappa_V$ and there exist scalar matrices $\{A_{k,j}\}_{k=0}^{\kappa_V} \overset{N}{j=1}$, $\{B_{k,j}\}_{k=0}^{\kappa_V} \overset{N}{j=1}$ such that

(B-i) $X_j Q_k \stackrel{V}{=} A_{k,j} Q_{k+1} + B_{k,j} Q_k + A_{k-1,j}^* Q_{k-1}$
for all $j \in \overline{1, N}$ and $k \in \overline{0, \kappa_V}$,

(B-ii) $\ell(Q_k) \leq d_V(k)$ for every $k \in \overline{0, \kappa_V}$,

(B-iii) $\deg Q_k \leq k$ for every $k \in \overline{0, \kappa_V}$.

Under the above assumptions

the **conditions (A) and (B) are equivalent.**

Notice the absence of the rank condition in part (B) of Theorem 8.

Moreover the following holds:

1° the condition (B) implies the injectivity of $[A_{k,1}^*, \dots, A_{k,N}^*]^*$ for every $k \in \overline{0, \kappa_V}$ and the positive definiteness of L , where L is given by

$$L|_V = 0, L(Q_0) = Q_0, L(Q_k) = 0 \quad \forall k \in \overline{1, \kappa_V}, \quad (3)$$

2° the condition (A) implies $V = \mathcal{V}_L$; if a linear functional $L' : \mathcal{P}_N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfies (A), then L' fulfils (3).

Orthogonalizing measures:

\mathfrak{M}_N = the set of all positive Borel measures μ on \mathbb{R}^N with all finite moments, i.e.

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x^\alpha| d\mu(x) < \infty \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^N.$$

Given $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}_N$, we define the linear functional $L_\mu : \mathcal{P}_N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ via

$$L_\mu(p) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} p d\mu, \quad p \in \mathcal{P}_N.$$

If $L = L_\mu$ for some $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}_N$, then L is called a **moment functional (induced by μ)**.

Every moment functional is positive definite but not conversely.

We say that a measure $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}_N$ **orthonormalizes** a sequence $\{Q_k\}_{k=0}^n$ of column polynomials ($0 \leq n \leq \infty$) if $\{Q_k\}_{k=0}^n$ is L_μ -orthonormal.

Zariski topology:

For $\Delta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$, denote by $\mathcal{I}(\Delta)$ the $*$ -ideal:

$$\mathcal{I}(\Delta) = \{p \in \mathcal{P}_N : p(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in \Delta\}.$$

Call $\mathcal{I}(\Delta)$ a **set ideal (induced by the set Δ)**.

There are $*$ -ideals which are not set ideals (e.g. $V = (X^s) \subseteq \mathcal{P}_1$, $s \geq 2$).

If $\text{int}(\Delta) \neq \emptyset$, then $\mathcal{I}(\Delta) = \{0\}$.

For $p \in \mathcal{P}_N$, denote by \mathcal{Z}_p the **algebraic set**:

$$\mathcal{Z}_p \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : p(x) = 0\}.$$

Define

$$\overline{\Delta}^{\mathcal{Z}} = \bigcap \{ \mathcal{Z}_p : p \in \mathcal{P}_N \text{ and } \Delta \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_p \}. \quad (4)$$

The set $\overline{\Delta}^{\mathcal{Z}}$ remains unchanged if we replace \mathcal{P}_N by \mathcal{R}_N in (4).

Since each algebraic subset of \mathbb{R}^N is of the form \mathcal{Z}_p with some $p \in \mathcal{R}_N$, our definition of $\overline{\Delta}^{\mathcal{Z}}$ coincides with the closure of Δ in the Zariski topology (which consists of complements of algebraic subsets of \mathbb{R}^N).

$\mathcal{V}_{L\mu}$ is a set ideal:

Recall that

$$\mathcal{V}_L = \bigcap_{q \in \mathcal{P}_N} \{p \in \mathcal{P}_N : L(pq) = 0\},$$
$$\kappa_V = \dim \mathcal{P}_N/V.$$

Proposition 9 *If $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}_N$ and $\mu \neq 0$, then*

- (i) $\mathcal{V}_{L\mu} = \mathcal{I}(\text{supp } \mu) = \mathcal{I}(\overline{\text{supp } \mu^Z})$,
- (ii) $\text{supp } \mu$ is finite if and only if $\kappa_{\mathcal{V}_{L\mu}} < \infty$
(equivalently: $\dim \mathcal{P}_N/\mathcal{V}_{L\mu} < \infty$).

The condition (B) and set ideals:

The problem of existence of an orthonormalizing measure can only be solved in the case of set ideals.

Proposition 10 *Let V be a proper $*$ -ideal in \mathcal{P}_N and $\emptyset \neq \Delta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$.*

(i) *If a sequence $\{Q_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty_V}$ of real column polynomials (with $Q_0 = 1$) satisfies the condition (B) of Theorem 8, and L defined by (2) is a moment functional induced by $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}_N$, then $V = \mathcal{I}(\text{supp } \mu)$.*

(ii) *If $V = \mathcal{I}(\Delta)$, then there exists a rigid V -basis $\{Q_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty_V}$ of \mathcal{P}_N composed of real column polynomials (with $Q_0 = 1$) which is orthonormalized by some $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}_N$ and which satisfies the condition (B) of Theorem 8.*

Types **A**, **B** and **C**:

- A polynomial $p \in \mathcal{P}_N$ is of **type A** if every positive definite linear functional $L : \mathcal{P}_N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ vanishing on the principal ideal $(p) \subseteq \mathcal{P}_N$ is a moment functional.

\iff for every inner product space \mathcal{D} and for every cyclic commuting N -tuple $S = (S_1, \dots, S_N)$ of symmetric operators on \mathcal{D} annihilated by p (i.e. $p(S) = 0$), there exists an N -tuple (T_1, \dots, T_N) of spectrally commuting selfadjoint operators in a Hilbert space $\mathcal{K} \supseteq \mathcal{D}$ (isometric embedding) such that $S_j \subseteq T_j$ for all $j = 1, \dots, N$.

- A polynomial $p \in \mathcal{P}_N$ is of **type B**, if every positive definite linear functional $L : \mathcal{P}_N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ vanishing on $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{Z}_p)$ is a moment functional.

- A $*$ -ideal V in \mathcal{P}_N is said to be of **type C**, if either $V = \mathcal{P}_N$ or $V \neq \mathcal{P}_N$ and for every sequence $\{Q_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ of real column polynomials satisfying condition (B) of Theorem 8 with $Q_0 = 1$, the linear functional L defined by (2) is a moment functional.

- A polynomial $p \in \mathcal{P}_N$ is of **type C** if the set ideal $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{Z}_p)$ is of type C.

Proposition 11 *A $*$ -ideal V in \mathcal{P}_N is of type C if and only if every positive definite linear functional $L : \mathcal{P}_N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $\mathcal{V}_L = V$ is a moment functional.*

If $p \in \mathcal{P}_N$ is of type A, then it is of type B.

If $p \in \mathcal{P}_N$ is of type B, then it is of type C.

Type A depends on the number of indeterminates, e.g.

the zero polynomial is of type A as a member of \mathcal{P}_1 and is not of type A as a member of \mathcal{P}_2 .

Theorem 12 *Every polynomial $p \in \mathcal{P}_N$ with **compact** \mathcal{Z}_p is of type A, and, in consequence, of type C (the case $\mathcal{Z}_p = \emptyset$ is not excluded).*

Theorem 13 *Assume that $p \in \mathcal{P}_N$ is of type A and Δ is a finite subset of \mathbb{R}^N . Then the $*$ -ideal $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{Z}_p \cup \Delta)$ in \mathcal{P}_N is of type C. In particular, $*$ -ideals $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{Z}_p)$ and $\mathcal{I}(\Delta)$ in \mathcal{P}_N are of type C.*

This not longer true for infinite sets Δ .

Examples:

The polynomial $p = X_1^2 X_2^2 (X_1^2 + X_2^2 - 1) + 1 \in \mathcal{P}_2$ is positive. It is not a sum of squares of real polynomials (this is related to Hilbert's 17th problem). The polynomial p is of type A.

Every nonzero polynomial $p \in \mathcal{R}_2$ of degree at most 2 is of type A (J.S. 1992).

All polynomials $X_2 + q(X_1) \in \mathcal{P}_2$ with $q \in \mathcal{P}_1$ are of type A.

A polynomial $1 + (X_1 \pm i X_2)q(X_1, X_2) \in \mathcal{P}_2$ with $q \in \mathcal{P}_2$ is of type A (J.S. and F.H. Szafraniec 1998).

Bisgaard (1998) completely characterized polynomials of the form $X^\alpha - X^\beta \in \mathcal{P}_N$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^N$, which are of type A.

J.S. and F.H. Szafraniec (2003) gave examples of polynomials of type A including

$$(X_1 + i X_2)q(X_1, X_2)X_3 - 1 \in \mathcal{P}_3,$$

where $q \in \mathcal{P}_2$, and

$$(1 + q_1(X_1)^2 + \dots + q_k(X_k)^2)r(X_1, \dots, X_k)X_{k+1} - 1 \in \mathcal{P}_{k+1}$$

where $q_1, \dots, q_k \in \mathcal{R}_1$ are polynomials of degree at least 1 and $r \in \mathcal{R}_k$.

Despite the above instances, one may still find ideals which are not of type C. Set $N = 2$ and $p = (X_2 - X_1^2)X_2 \in \mathcal{P}_2$. The polynomial p is not of type A (J.S. 1992) and so there exists at least one positive definite linear functional L on \mathcal{P}_2 vanishing on (p) , which is not a moment functional. Then, by Proposition 11, **the ideal $V \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} \mathcal{V}_L$ is not of type C.** Moreover, we have

$$\{0\} \subsetneq (p) = \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{Z}_p) \subseteq \mathcal{V}_L \subseteq \ker L \subsetneq \mathcal{P}_2.$$

It is not known whether $\mathcal{V}_L = \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{Z}_p)$ for some such L .

The polynomial $p = (X_2 - X_1^2)X_1 \in \mathcal{P}_2$ is of type A.

Question 1 *Do types A and B (resp. B and C) coincide for every $p \in \mathcal{P}_N$?*

Question 2 *Is every nonzero set ideal V in \mathcal{P}_N of type C?*

Question 3 *Is the zero ideal in \mathcal{P}_N of type C?*

An answer in the negative to Question 3 implies an answer in the negative to Question 2 (with a greater N).

Orth. measures: matrix approach

Assume that $\mathfrak{r}_V = \infty$, V is a proper $*$ -ideal in \mathcal{P}_N and $\{Q_k\}_{k=0}^{\mathfrak{r}_V}$ is a sequence of real column polynomials (with $Q_0 = 1$) satisfying the condition (B) of Theorem 8.

Arrange the set $\{q + V : q \in \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} Q_k\}$ in an orthonormal basis $\{q_k + V\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ of the Hilbert space completion of \mathcal{P}_N/V relative to $\langle \cdot, - \rangle_L$:

$$\langle q + V, r + V \rangle_L \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} L(qr^*), \quad q, r \in \mathcal{P}_N$$

respecting the order of columns as well as the order of entries in each column. Then for every $j = 1, \dots, N$, the multiplication operator $M_{X_j} \in \mathbf{L}_S^\#(\mathcal{P}_N/V)$ may be represented by the infinite symmetric matrix

$$\mathbf{S}_j \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} \begin{bmatrix} B_{0,j} & A_{0,j} & 0 & 0 & & \\ A_{0,j}^\top & B_{1,j} & A_{1,j} & 0 & \cdots & \\ 0 & A_{1,j}^\top & B_{2,j} & A_{2,j} & \cdots & \\ 0 & 0 & A_{2,j}^\top & B_{3,j} & \cdots & \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \end{bmatrix}$$

with respect to the orthonormal basis $\{q_k + V\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$, where $A_{k,j}$ and $B_{k,j}$ are the real matrices appearing in (B-i) of Theorem 8.

Orth. measures: matrix approach

Theorem 14 *Let V be a proper $*$ -ideal in \mathcal{P}_N with $\kappa_V = \infty$ and $\{Q_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of real column polynomials (with $Q_0 = 1$) satisfying the condition (B) of Theorem 8. Assume that there exists a sequence $\{c_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ of positive real numbers such that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n^{-1/2} = \infty$ and for every $n \geq 0$,*

$$c_n \geq \max \left\{ \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N A_{n-1,j} A_{n,j} \right\|, \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N A_{n,j} A_{n+1,j} \right\|, \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N (B_{n,j} A_{n,j} + A_{n,j} B_{n+1,j}) \right\| \right\}.$$

Then there exists a unique measure $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}_N$ which orthonormalizes $\{Q_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ and which satisfies the equality $\mathcal{I}(\text{supp } \mu) = V$.

Orth. measures: matrix approach

Theorem 15 *Let V be a proper $*$ -ideal in \mathcal{P}_N with $\kappa_V = \infty$ and $\{Q_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$ be a sequence of real column polynomials (with $Q_0 = 1$) satisfying the condition (B) of Theorem 8. Assume that for any two integers $i, j \in \overline{1, N}$ with $i < j$ there exists a sequence $\{c_n^{i,j}\}_{n=0}^\infty$ of positive real numbers such that $\sum_{n=0}^\infty (c_n^{i,j})^{-1/2} = \infty$ and $c_n^{i,j} \geq \max\{a_{n-1}^{i,j}, a_n^{i,j}, b_n^{i,j}\}$ for every $n \geq 0$, where*

$$a_n^{i,j} \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} \|A_{n,i}A_{n+1,i} + A_{n,j}A_{n+1,j}\|,$$

$$b_n^{i,j} \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} \|B_{n,i}A_{n,i} + A_{n,i}B_{n+1,i} \\ + B_{n,j}A_{n,j} + A_{n,j}B_{n+1,j}\|.$$

Then there exists a unique measure $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}_N$ which orthonormalizes $\{Q_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$ and which satisfies the equality $\mathcal{I}(\text{supp } \mu) = V$.