

**Some Applications of (Modified) Fredholm  
Determinants, or,  
Variations on a Theme of Jost and Pais**

Fritz Gesztesy

University of Missouri – Columbia

Based on various joint work with  
Yuri Latushkin, Konstantin Makarov,  
Marius Mitrea, and Maxim Zinchenko

University of Missouri – Columbia

OTAMP, Lund, June 15–22, 2006

## Topics involved:

- Infinite determinants
- Examples: Jost Functions, Floquet Discriminants, and Evans Functions as Infinite Determinants
- Semiseparable Integral Kernels,  
Reduction of Infinite Determinants to Finite Ones
- Perturbation Determinants for Schrödinger Operators in  
Dimensions  $n = 1, 2, 3$
- Connections with Dirichlet–Neumann maps

**Based on the following work:**

- F.G., and K. A. Makarov, (*Modified*) *Fredholm determinants for operators with matrix-valued semi-separable integral kernels revisited*, Integr. Equ. Operator Theory **47**, 457–497 (2003).
- F.G., Y. Latushkin, M. Mitrea, and M. Zinchenko, *Non-self-adjoint operators, infinite determinants, and some applications*, Russ. J. Math. Phys. **12**, 443–471 (2005).
- F.G., Y. Latushkin, and K. A. Makarov, *Evans functions, Jost functions, and Fredholm determinants*, submitted.
- F.G., M. Mitrea, and M. Zinchenko, *Variations of a Theme of Jost and Pais*, in preparation.

## Notation:

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  denote a separable complex Hilbert space with the scalar product  $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathcal{H}}$ , and  $I_{\mathcal{H}}$  the identity operator in  $\mathcal{H}$ .

$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  and  $\mathcal{B}_{\infty}(\mathcal{H})$  will denote the Banach spaces of **bounded** and **compact** linear operators in  $\mathcal{H}$ .

Similarly, the **Schatten–von Neumann (trace) ideals** will be denoted by  $\mathcal{B}_p(\mathcal{H})$ ,  $p > 0$  ( $\mathcal{B}_1$  denotes the **trace class** and  $\mathcal{B}_2$  the **Hilbert–Schmidt class**).

Analogous notation  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ ,  $\mathcal{B}_{\infty}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ , etc., will be used for bounded, compact, etc., operators between two Hilbert spaces  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{K}$ .

$\overline{T}$  denotes the **operator closure** of  $T$ .

$\text{tr}(T)$  denotes the **trace** of a trace class operator  $T \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H})$  and  $\det_p(I_{\mathcal{H}} - S)$  represents the **(modified) Fredholm determinant** for  $S \in \mathcal{B}_p(\mathcal{H})$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ . (For  $p = 1$  we omit the subscript 1 and simply write  $\det(I_{\mathcal{H}} - S)$ .):

$$T \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H}) \text{ then } \det(I_{\mathcal{H}} - T) = \prod_{j \in J} [1 - \lambda_j(T)],$$

where  $J \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  ( $J$  is finite or infinite),  $\lambda_j(T)$  are the (nonzero) **eigenvalues** of  $T$ , and we **count** them according to their **algebraic multiplicity**.

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} S \in \mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H}) \text{ then } \det_2(I_{\mathcal{H}} - S) &= \det((I_{\mathcal{H}} - S)e^S) \\ &= \prod_{j \in J} [(1 - \lambda_j(S))e^{\lambda_j(S)}]. \end{aligned}$$

(The factor  $e^{\lambda_j(S)}$  produces **convergence** of the infinite product.)

Trace Ideals:  $\mathcal{B}_p(\mathcal{H})$ ,  $p \geq 1$ :

$T \in \mathcal{B}_\infty(\mathcal{H})$ , i.e., let  $T$  be **compact**, consider  $T^*T \geq 0$ , introduce  $|T| = (T^*T)^{1/2} \geq 0$ , let  $s_j(T) \geq 0$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , be the eigenvalues of  $|T|$ . The  $s_j(T)$  are called the **singular values** of  $T$ .

Then  $T \in \mathcal{B}_p(\mathcal{H})$ ,  $p \geq 1$ , if  $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} s_j(T)^p < \infty$ .

$\mathcal{B}_p(\mathcal{H})$ ,  $p \geq 1$  are **Banach spaces**,  $p = 2$  yields the **Hilbert space** of **Hilbert–Schmidt** operators,  $p = 1$  represents the Banach space of **trace class** operators,

$$\|T\|_{\mathcal{B}_p(\mathcal{H})} = \left[ \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} s_j(T)^p \right]^{1/p}, \quad p \geq 1.$$

## Examples: Jost Fcts., Floquet Discriminants, and Evans Fcts. as Fredholm Determinants

**Example 1. *Jost Functions:*** *R. Jost and A. Pais 1951 (half-line case).*

*Real line case:*  $V \in L^1(\mathbb{R}; dx)$ , introduce *Jost solutions*  $f_{\pm}(z, \cdot)$  of the *Schrödinger equation*  $-\psi''(z) + V\psi(z) = z\psi(z)$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ , by

$$f_{\pm}(z, x) = e^{\pm iz^{1/2}x} - \int_x^{\pm\infty} dx' \frac{\sin(z^{1/2}(x - x'))}{z^{1/2}} V(x') f_{\pm}(z, x'),$$

$$\text{Im}(z^{1/2}) \geq 0, \quad z \neq 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

*Jost function*  $\mathcal{F}$ :

$$\mathcal{F}(z) = \frac{W(f_-(z), f_+(z))}{2iz^{1/2}}$$

$$= 1 - \frac{1}{2iz^{1/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dx e^{\mp iz^{1/2}x} V(x) f_{\pm}(z, x), \quad \text{Im}(z^{1/2}) \geq 0, \quad z \neq 0,$$

where  $W(f, g)(x) = f(x)g'(x) - f'(x)g(x)$  is the *Wronskian* of  $f$  and  $g$ .

Consider  $H_0 = -d^2/dx^2$  on  $\text{dom}(H_0) = H^2(\mathbb{R})$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}; dx)$  and introduce the following *factorization* of  $V$ ,

$$V(x) = u(x)v(x), \quad u(x) = |V(x)|^{1/2} \exp(i \arg(V(x))), \quad v(x) = |V(x)|^{1/2}.$$

Consider the operator  $K(z)$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}; dx)$  by

$$K(z) = -\overline{u(H_0 - zI)^{-1}v}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(H_0).$$

Then,  $K(z) \in \mathcal{B}_1(L^2(\mathbb{R}; dx))$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(H_0)$  and

$$\det(I - K(z)) = \mathcal{F}(z) = \frac{W(f_-(z), f_+(z))}{2iz^{1/2}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}, \text{Im}(z^{1/2}) > 0.$$

**Comments:** (i) This is a spectacular reduction: An **infinite determinant**,  $\det(\cdot)$ , is reduced to a simple **Wronski determinant**,  $W(\cdot, \cdot)$ .

(ii) **Eigenvalue eq.**  $H\psi = E\psi$ ,  $H = H_0 + V$ , is related to  $\mathcal{F}(E) = 0$ .



**Example 2.** *Floquet Discriminants: Periodic* Schrödinger operators of period  $\omega > 0$ , assume  $V \in L^1((0, \omega); dx)$ , and introduce the one-parameter family of self-adjoint operators  $H_{0, \theta}$  in  $L^2((0, \omega); dx)$  by

$$H_{0, \theta} = -d^2/dx^2, \quad \text{dom}(H_{0, \theta}) = \{g \in L^2((0, \omega); dx) \mid g, g' \in AC([0, \omega]); \\ g(\omega) = e^{i\theta} g(0), g'(\omega) = e^{i\theta} g'(0), g'' \in L^2((0, \omega); dx)\},$$

where  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ . (*Periodic* b.c.'s:  $\theta = 0$ , *antiperiodic* b.c.'s:  $\theta = \pi$ .)

*Fundamental system* of solutions:  $c(z, \cdot)$  and  $s(z, \cdot)$  of  $-\psi''(z) + V\psi(z) = z\psi(z)$ ,

$$c(z, 0) = 1 = s'(z, 0), \quad c'(z, 0) = 0 = s(z, 0), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

*Fundamental matrix* of solutions  $\Phi(z, x)$ :

$$\Phi(z, x) = \begin{pmatrix} c(z, x) & s(z, x) \\ c'(z, x) & s'(z, x) \end{pmatrix}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

*Monodromy matrix*:  $\Phi(z, \omega)$ .

*Floquet discriminant  $\Delta(z)$ :*

$$\Delta(z) = \operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{C}^2}(\Phi(z, \omega))/2 = [c(z, \omega) + s'(z, \omega)]/2, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

*The eigenvalue eq. for  $H_\theta = H_{0,\theta} + V$  then reads,  $\Delta(z) = \cos(\theta)$ .*

*Factorize  $V = uv$ , and define the operator  $K_\theta(z)$  in  $L^2((0, \omega); dx)$  by*

$$K_\theta(z) = -\overline{u(H_{0,\theta} - zI)^{-1}v}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(H_{0,\theta}) \quad (1)$$

*Let  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ , and  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(H_\theta^{(0)})$ . Then,  $K_\theta(z) \in \mathcal{B}_1(L^2((0, \omega); dx))$   
and*

$$\det(I - K_\theta(z)) = \frac{\Delta(z) - \cos(\theta)}{\cos(z^{1/2}\omega) - \cos(\theta)}. \quad (2)$$

**Comment:** Now an infinite determinant,  $\det(\cdot)$ , is essentially reduced to a simple trace of the monodromy matrix  $\Phi(z, \omega)$  and hence to the Floquet discriminant  $\Delta(z)$ .

**Example 3. *Evans Functions:*** Consider the “*unperturbed*” and “*perturbed*” first-order systems ( $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ )

$$y'(x) = A(x)y(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$y'(x) = (A(x) + R(x))y(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where  $A \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})^{d \times d}$  and  $\|R\|_{\mathbb{C}^{d \times d}} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}; e^{\beta|x|} dx)$  for some sufficiently large  $\beta > 0$  (to be determined by certain *Bohl* and *Lyapunov* exponents).

The *Evans Function*, a  $d \times d$ -dimensional determinant, and a tool in *linear stability theory for nonlinear evolution equations*, turns out to be a *Jost-type* function and equal to a *modified* Fredholm determinant (up to an exponential factor).

*Comments:* (i) Again an *infinite determinant*,  $\det_2(\cdot)$ , is reduced to a  $d \times d$  *determinant*,  $\det_{\mathbb{C}^d}(\cdot)$ , up to an exponential factor  $e^\Theta$ .

(ii) Since we are dealing with *first-order ODE* systems, one needs to use the *modified determinant*  $\det_2(\cdot)$ .

**Remark 4.** *There is nothing special about **Schrödinger** operators in Examples 1 and 2: The same applies to one-dimensional **Dirac-type** operators on a half-line as well as the whole real line, and to **Jacobi** operators (i.e., **tri-diagonal matrices** related to **orthogonal polynomials on  $\mathbb{R}$** ) and **CMV** operators (i.e., **five-diagonal matrices** related to **orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle  $S^1$** ) on a half-lattice as well as the lattice  $\mathbb{Z}$ .*

## Semiseparable Integral Kernels, Reduction of Infinite Determinants to Finite Ones

Suppose  $A: \mathcal{H}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_2$ ,  $B: \mathcal{H}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_1$  with  $AB \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H}_2)$  and  $BA \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H}_1)$ . Then,

$$\det(I_{\mathcal{H}_2} - AB) = \det(I_{\mathcal{H}_1} - BA).$$

**Note:**  $\mathcal{H}_1$  and  $\mathcal{H}_2$  may have **different dimensions** (finite and infinite).

**Example:**  $m \times m$  matrix-valued, **semi-separable** integral kernels on  $(a, b) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$

$$K(x, x') = \begin{cases} f_1(x)g_1(x'), & a < x' < x < b, \\ f_2(x)g_2(x'), & a < x < x' < b, \end{cases}$$

associated with the Hilbert–Schmidt operator  $K$  in  $L^2((a, b); dx)^m$ ,

$$(Kf)(x) = \int_a^b dx' K(x, x')f(x'), \quad f \in L^2((a, b); dx)^m, \quad m \in \mathbb{N},$$

assuming

$$f_j \in L^2((a, b); dx)^{m \times n_j}, \quad g_j \in L^2((a, b); dx)^{n_j \times m}, \quad n_j \in \mathbb{N}, \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (3)$$

**Note:** Green's matrices and resolvent operators associated with closed (matrix-valued) ordinary differential operators on arbitrary intervals (finite or infinite) on the real line are always of this semi-separable form.

Decompose  $K$  into a Volterra operator  $H_a$  and a finite-rank operator  $QR$ :

$$K = H_a + QR,$$

where

$$(H_a f)(x) = \int_a^x dx' H(x, x') f(x'), \quad f \in L^2((a, b); dx)^m,$$
$$H(x, x') = f_1(x)g_1(x') - f_2(x)g_2(x'), \quad a < x' < x < b$$

and

$$Q: \mathbb{C}^{n_2} \rightarrow L^2((a, b); dx)^m, \quad (Q\underline{u})(x) = f_2(x)\underline{u}, \quad \underline{u} \in \mathbb{C}^{n_2},$$

$$R: L^2((a, b); dx)^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n_2}, \quad (Rf) = \int_a^b dx' g_2(x') f(x'), \quad f \in L^2((a, b); dx)^m$$

Observing

$$I - \alpha K = (I - \alpha H_a)[I - \alpha(I - \alpha H_a)^{-1}QR], \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{C},$$

assuming that  $K$  is a trace class operator,  $K \in \mathcal{B}_1(L^2((a, b); dx)^m)$ , one computes,

$$\begin{aligned} \det(I - \alpha K) &= \det(I - \alpha H_a) \det(I - \alpha(I - \alpha H_a)^{-1}QR) \\ &= \det(I - \alpha(I - \alpha H_a)^{-1}QR) \\ &= \det_{\mathbb{C}^{n_2}}(I_{n_2} - \alpha R(I - \alpha H_a)^{-1}Q). \end{aligned}$$

**Note:** The Fredholm determinant of  $I - \alpha K$  is reduced to a finite-dimensional determinant induced by the finite rank operator  $QR$ .

Now introduce the  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  ( $n = n_1 + n_2$ )

$$A(x) = \begin{pmatrix} g_1(x)f_1(x) & g_1(x)f_2(x) \\ -g_2(x)f_1(x) & -g_2(x)f_2(x) \end{pmatrix},$$

and the Volterra integral equations

$$\hat{f}_1(x, \alpha) = f_1(x) - \alpha \int_x^b dx' H(x, x') \hat{f}_1(x', \alpha),$$

$$\hat{f}_2(x, \alpha) = f_2(x) + \alpha \int_a^x dx' H(x, x') \hat{f}_2(x', \alpha), \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$$

with solutions  $\hat{f}_j(\cdot, \alpha) \in L^2((a, b); dx)^{m \times n_j}$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ . Then, the first-order  $n \times n$  system of differential equations

$$U'(x, \alpha) = \alpha A(x)U(x, \alpha) \text{ for a.e. } x \in (a, b) \text{ and } \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$$



permits the explicit particular solution

$$U(x, \alpha) = \begin{pmatrix} I_{n_1} - \alpha \int_x^b dx' g_1(x') \hat{f}_1(x', \alpha) & \alpha \int_a^x dx' g_1(x') \hat{f}_2(x', \alpha) \\ \alpha \int_x^b dx' g_2(x') \hat{f}_1(x', \alpha) & I_{n_2} - \alpha \int_a^x dx' g_2(x') \hat{f}_2(x', \alpha) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \det(I - \alpha K) &= \det_{\mathbb{C}^{n_2}} (I_{n_2} - \alpha R (I - \alpha H_a)^{-1} Q) \\ &= \det_{\mathbb{C}^{n_2}} \left( I_{n_2} - \alpha \int_a^b dx g_2(x) \hat{f}_2(x, \alpha) \right) \\ &= \det_{\mathbb{C}^n} (U(b, \alpha)), \end{aligned}$$

a relatively new result in this generality.

Analogous results hold for 2-modified Fredholm determinants in the case where  $K$  is only assumed to be a Hilbert–Schmidt operator.

**Comment:** Again this is an interesting reduction and an **infinite determinant**,  $\det(\cdot)$ , is reduced to an  $n_2 \times n_2$  **determinant**,  $\det_{\mathbb{C}^{n_2}}(\cdot)$ , etc.

## An Application of Perturbation Determinants to Schrödinger Operators in Dimensions $n = 1, 2, 3$

**Hypothesis 5.** Suppose  $n = 1$  and  $V \in L^1((0, \infty); dx)$ .

Let  $H_{0,+}^D$  and  $H_{0,+}^N$  denote the Dirichlet and Neumann Laplacians,  $-d^2/dx^2$ , and let  $V$  be the multiplication operator by the element  $V \in L^1((0, \infty); dx)$ .

Introduce  $H_+^D = H_{0,+}^D + V$ ,  $H_+^N = H_{0,+}^N + V$ , and the fundamental system of solutions  $\phi_+(z, \cdot)$ ,  $\theta_+(z, \cdot)$  and the Jost solution  $f_+(z, \cdot)$  of  $-\psi''(z, x) + V(x)\psi(z, x) = z\psi(z, x)$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $x \geq 0$ , by

$$\phi_+(z, x) = \frac{\sin(z^{1/2}x)}{z^{1/2}} + \int_0^x dx' \frac{\sin(z^{1/2}(x-x'))}{z^{1/2}} V(x') \phi_+(z, x'),$$

$$\theta_+(z, x) = \cos(z^{1/2}x) + \int_0^x dx' \frac{\sin(z^{1/2}(x-x'))}{z^{1/2}} V(x') \theta_+(z, x'),$$

$$f_+(z, x) = e^{iz^{1/2}x} - \int_x^\infty dx' \frac{\sin(z^{1/2}(x-x'))}{z^{1/2}} V(x') f_+(z, x').$$

Moreover, let  $u = \exp(i \arg(V)) |V|^{1/2}$  and  $v = |V|^{1/2}$  s.t.  $V = uv$ . Denote by  $I_+$  the identity operator in  $L^2((0, \infty); dx)$ .

**Lemma 6** (R. Jost and A. Pais, 1951). *Assume Hypothesis 5 and  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \infty)$  with  $\text{Im}(z^{1/2}) > 0$ . Then*

$$\det \left( I_+ + \overline{u(H_{0,+}^D - zI_+)^{-1}v} \right) = W(f_+(z, \cdot), \phi_+(z, \cdot)) = f_+(z, 0),$$

$$\det \left( I_+ + \overline{u(H_{0,+}^N - zI_+)^{-1}v} \right) = \frac{W(f_+(z, \cdot), \theta_+(z, \cdot))}{-iz^{1/2}} = \frac{f'_+(z, 0)}{iz^{1/2}},$$

where  $W(f, g)(x) = f(x)g'(x) - f'(x)g(x)$  denotes the **Wronskian** of  $f$  and  $g$ .

**Comment:** An infinite determinant,  $\det(\cdot)$ , is reduced to a simple Wronski determinant,  $W(\cdot, \cdot)$ .

A straightforward generalization of this lemma to higher dimensions appears to be difficult, but the following formula for the ratio of determinants permits a direct extension to dimensions  $n = 2, 3$ .

**Theorem 7.** *Assume Hypothesis 5 and let  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(H_+^D)$  with  $\text{Im}(z^{1/2}) > 0$ . Then,*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\det \left( I_+ + \overline{u(H_{0,+}^N - zI_+)^{-1}v} \right)}{\det \left( I_+ + \overline{u(H_{0,+}^D - zI_+)^{-1}v} \right)} &= \frac{f'_+(z, 0)}{iz^{1/2} f_+(z, 0)} = \frac{m_+^D(z)}{m_{0,+}^D(z)} \\ &= 1 - \left( \overline{\gamma_N(H_+^D - zI_+)^{-1}V[\gamma_D(H_{0,+}^N - \bar{z}I_+)^{-1}]^*} \right) 1, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\gamma_D$  and  $\gamma_N$  represent the boundary **Dirichlet** and **Neumann trace operators** (i.e., functionals in  $d = 1$ ),

$$\gamma_D: \begin{cases} C([0, \infty)) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \\ g \mapsto g(0), \end{cases} \quad \gamma_N: \begin{cases} C^1([0, \infty)) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \\ h \mapsto -h'(0). \end{cases}$$

Here  $m_+^D(z)$  is the **Weyl–Titchmarsh function** (i.e., the **Dirichlet to Neumann map**) of  $H_+^D$  and  $m_{0,+}^D(z) = iz^{1/2}$  is that of  $H_{0,+}^D$ .

**Hypothesis 8.** *Let  $n = 2, 3$ .*

(i) *Assume that  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is an open nonempty set with a compact, nonempty boundary  $\partial\Omega$  such that one of the following conditions holds:*

( $\alpha$ )  *$\Omega$  is of class  $C^{1,r}$  for some  $1/2 < r < 1$ .*

( $\beta$ )  *$\Omega$  is convex.*

( $\gamma$ )  *$\Omega$  is a Lipschitz domain satisfying a uniform exterior ball condition (UEBC).*

(ii) *Suppose that  $V \in L^p(\Omega; d^n x)$ , where  $4/3 < p \leq 2$  in the case  $n = 2$  and  $3/2 < p \leq 2$  in the case  $n = 3$ .*

**Note:**  $\partial\Omega$  is assumed to be compact, but  $\Omega$  may be unbounded in connection with conditions ( $\alpha$ ) or ( $\gamma$ ).

In the following,  $d\sigma^{n-1}$  denotes the surface measure on  $\partial\Omega$ .

Let  $\gamma_D$  denote the **Dirichlet trace operator**,

$$\gamma_D: H^s(\Omega) \rightarrow H^{s-1/2}(\partial\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^2(\partial\Omega; d^{n-1}\sigma), \quad 1/2 < s < 3/2,$$

$$\gamma_D u = u|_{\partial\Omega}, \quad u \in C(\bar{\Omega}),$$

and  $\gamma_N$  denote the **Neumann trace operator**,

$$\gamma_N = \nu \cdot \gamma_D \nabla: H^{s+1}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\partial\Omega; d^{n-1}\sigma), \quad 1/2 < s < 3/2,$$

where  $\nu$  denotes the outward pointing unit normal vector to  $\partial\Omega$ .

Actually, we also need to go further and introduce a **(weak) Neumann trace operator  $\tilde{\gamma}_N$**  by

$$\tilde{\gamma}_N: \{u \in H^1(\Omega) \mid \Delta u \in (H^1(\Omega))^*\} \rightarrow H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega), \quad \tilde{\gamma}_N u = \nu \cdot \nabla u.$$

(Some details are omitted.....)

$\tilde{\gamma}_N$  is an extension of  $\gamma_N$ .

Given Hypothesis 8 (i), we introduce the **self-adjoint** and **nonnegative Dirichlet** and **Neumann Laplacians**  $H_{0,\Omega}^D$  and  $H_{0,\Omega}^N$  in  $L^2(\Omega; d^n x)$ , associated with the domain  $\Omega$  by,

$$\begin{aligned} H_{0,\Omega}^D &= -\Delta, & \text{dom}(H_{0,\Omega}^D) &= \{u \in H^2(\Omega) \mid \gamma_D u = 0\}, \\ H_{0,\Omega}^N &= -\Delta, & \text{dom}(H_{0,\Omega}^N) &= \{u \in H^2(\Omega) \mid \gamma_N u = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $u = \exp(i \arg(V)) |V|^{1/2}$ ,  $v = |V|^{1/2}$  such that  $V = uv$ .

We denote by  $I_\Omega$  and  $I_{\partial\Omega}$  the identity operators in  $L^2(\Omega; d^n x)$  and  $L^2(\partial\Omega; d\sigma)$ .

Applying **abstract perturbation theory** based on **factorizations** of  $V$  (**Kato 1966**), one can introduce the operators  $H_\Omega^D$  and  $H_\Omega^N$  which are closed, densely defined distinguished extensions of  $H_{0,\Omega}^D + V$  on  $\text{dom}(H_{0,\Omega}^D) \cap \text{dom}(V)$  and  $H_{0,\Omega}^N + V$  on  $\text{dom}(H_{0,\Omega}^N) \cap \text{dom}(V)$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
(H_{\Omega}^{D,N} - zI_{\Omega})^{-1} &= (H_{0,\Omega}^{D,N} - zI_{\Omega})^{-1} \\
&\quad - \overline{(H_{0,\Omega}^{D,N} - zI_{\Omega})^{-1}v} \left[ I_{\Omega} + \overline{u(H_{0,\Omega}^{D,N} - zI_{\Omega})^{-1}v} \right]^{-1} u(H_{\Omega}^{D,N} - zI_{\Omega})^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Typical results required in the following:

Using potential theoretic notions like [single](#) and [double layer potentials](#), Hypothesis 8 (i) implies the [inclusions](#):

$$\text{dom}(H_{0,\Omega}^D) \subset H^2(\Omega), \quad \text{dom}(H_{0,\Omega}^N) \subset H^2(\Omega).$$

These [inclusions](#) and methods based on [real interpolation spaces](#) then allow one to prove:

**Lemma 9.** *Assume Hypothesis 8 (i). Then the following mapping properties hold for all  $q \in [0, 1]$  and  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \infty)$ ,*

$$(H_{0,\Omega}^D - zI_{\Omega})^{-q}, (H_{0,\Omega}^N - zI_{\Omega})^{-q} \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\Omega; d^n x), H^{2q}(\Omega)). \quad (4)$$



**Lemma 10.** *Assume Hypothesis 8(i) and let  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \infty)$ . Then,*

$$\gamma_N(H_{0,\Omega}^D - zI_\Omega)^{-\frac{3+\varepsilon}{4}}, \gamma_D(H_{0,\Omega}^N - zI_\Omega)^{-\frac{1+\varepsilon}{4}} \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\Omega), L^2(\partial\Omega)).$$

**Lemma 11.** *Suppose  $\Omega$  is an open nonempty Lipschitz domain with a compact nonempty boundary  $\partial\Omega$ . Then, the following mapping properties hold,*

$$(\gamma_N((H_{0,\Omega}^D - zI_\Omega)^{-1})^*)^* : H^1(\partial\Omega) \rightarrow H^{3/2}(\Omega), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(H_{0,\Omega}^D),$$

$$(\gamma_D((H_{0,\Omega}^N - zI_\Omega)^{-1})^*)^* : L^2(\partial\Omega; d^{n-1}\sigma) \rightarrow H^{3/2}(\Omega), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(H_{0,\Omega}^N).$$

Moreover, we need:

**Lemma 12.** *Assume Hypothesis 8(i) and let  $2 \leq p$ ,  $(n/2p) < q \leq 1$ ,  $f \in L^p(\Omega; d^n x)$ , and  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \infty)$ . Then,*

$$f(H_{0,\Omega}^D - zI_\Omega)^{-q}, f(H_{0,\Omega}^N - zI_\Omega)^{-q} \in \mathcal{B}_p(L^2(\Omega; d^n x)),$$

and for some  $c > 0$  (independent of  $z$  and  $f$ )

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f(H_{0,\Omega}^D - zI_\Omega)^{-q} \right\|_{\mathcal{B}_p(L^2(\Omega; d^n x))}^2 \\ & \leq c \left( 1 + \frac{|z|^{2q} + 1}{\text{dist}(z, \sigma(H_{0,\Omega}^D))^{2q}} \right) \|(|\cdot|^2 - z)^{-q}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; d^n x)}^2 \|f\|_{L^p(\Omega; d^n x)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f(H_{0,\Omega}^N - zI_\Omega)^{-q} \right\|_{\mathcal{B}_p(L^2(\Omega; d^n x))}^2 \\ & \leq c \left( 1 + \frac{|z|^{2q} + 1}{\text{dist}(z, \sigma(H_{0,\Omega}^N))^{2q}} \right) \|(|\cdot|^2 - z)^{-q}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; d^n x)}^2 \|f\|_{L^p(\Omega; d^n x)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

A **first principal result**, the analog of the second half of Theorem 7 for dimensions 2 and 3:

**Theorem 13.** *Assume Hypothesis 8 and let  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (\sigma(H_\Omega^D) \cup \sigma(H_{0,\Omega}^D) \cup \sigma(H_{0,\Omega}^N))$ . Then,*

$$\frac{\det_2 \left( I_\Omega + \overline{u(H_{0,\Omega}^N - zI_\Omega)^{-1}v} \right)}{\det_2 \left( I_\Omega + \overline{u(H_{0,\Omega}^D - zI_\Omega)^{-1}v} \right)} = \det_2 \left( I_{\partial\Omega} - \overline{\gamma_N(H_\Omega^D - zI_\Omega)^{-1}V[\gamma_D(H_{0,\Omega}^N - \bar{z}I_\Omega)^{-1}]^*} \right) e^{\text{tr}(T(z))}, \quad (5)$$

where

$$T(z) = \overline{\gamma_N(H_{0,\Omega}^D - zI_\Omega)^{-1}V(H_\Omega^D - zI_\Omega)^{-1}V[\gamma_D(H_{0,\Omega}^N - \bar{z}I_\Omega)^{-1}]^*}.$$

**Comment:** The ratio of “ $\det_2(\cdot)$ ” in  $L^2(\Omega)$  is reduced to “ $\det_2(\cdot)$ ” in  $L^2(\partial\Omega)$ . The presence of the exponential factor follows from

$$\det_2(I - A) = \det(I - A)e^{\text{tr}(A)}, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H}).$$

## Basic ingredients in the proof of Theorem 12:

Introduce the **Birman–Schwinger** kernel,

$$K_D(z) = -\overline{u(H_{0,\Omega}^D - zI_\Omega)^{-1}v}, \quad K_N(z) = -\overline{u(H_{0,\Omega}^N - zI_\Omega)^{-1}v}$$

and rewrite the left-hand side of (5) as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\det_2(I_\Omega + \overline{u(H_{0,\Omega}^N - zI_\Omega)^{-1}v})}{\det_2(I_\Omega + \overline{u(H_{0,\Omega}^D - zI_\Omega)^{-1}v})} &= \frac{\det_2(I_\Omega - K_N(z))}{\det_2(I_\Omega - K_D(z))} \\ &= \det_2(I_\Omega + (K_D(z) - K_N(z))[I_\Omega - K_D(z)]^{-1}) \\ &\quad \times \exp(\operatorname{tr}((K_D(z) - K_N(z))K_D(z)[I_\Omega - K_D(z)]^{-1})). \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 14 (A Krein-type resolvent formula).**

$$\begin{aligned} (H_{0,\Omega}^D - zI_\Omega)^{-1} - (H_{0,\Omega}^N - zI_\Omega)^{-1} \\ = [\gamma_D(H_{0,\Omega}^N - \bar{z}I_\Omega)^{-1}]^* \gamma_N(H_{0,\Omega}^D - zI_\Omega)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} K_D(z) - K_N(z) &= -\overline{u \left[ (H_{0,\Omega}^D - zI_\Omega)^{-1} - (H_{0,\Omega}^N - zI_\Omega)^{-1} \right] v} \\ &= - \left[ \overline{\gamma_D (H_{0,\Omega}^N - \bar{z}I_\Omega)^{-1} \bar{u}} \right]^* \overline{\gamma_N (H_{0,\Omega}^D - zI_\Omega)^{-1} v}. \end{aligned}$$

Strategy:

- temporarily assume  $V \in L^p(\Omega; d^n x) \cap L^\infty(\Omega; d^n x)$ ,
- appropriately **interchange factors** under the determinant, arrive at the final determinant formula in the theorem,
- use an **approximation argument** to **remove** the temporary assumption  $V \in L^\infty(\Omega; d^n x)$ .

**Corollary 15 (A Reduction Principle).** *The following observation yields a simple application of formula (5). By the general Birman–Schwinger principle,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{for all } z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(H_{0,\Omega}^N), \text{ one has } z \in \sigma(H_{\Omega}^N) \\ & \text{if and only if} \\ & \det_2 \left( I_{\Omega} + \overline{u(H_{0,\Omega}^N - zI_{\Omega})^{-1}v} \right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

*Thus, it follows from (5) that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{for all } z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (\sigma(H_{\Omega}^D) \cup \sigma(H_{0,\Omega}^D) \cup \sigma(H_{0,\Omega}^N)), \text{ one has } z \in \sigma(H_{\Omega}^N) \\ & \text{if and only if} \\ & \det_2 \left( I_{\partial\Omega} - \overline{\gamma_N(H_{\Omega}^D - zI_{\Omega})^{-1}V[\gamma_D(H_{0,\Omega}^N - \bar{z}I_{\Omega})^{-1}]^*} \right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

**Comment:** The eigenvalue problem in  $L^2(\Omega)$  has been reduced to one in  $L^2(\partial\Omega)$ .

## The Connection to Dirichlet-to-Neumann Maps

**Lemma 16.** *Assume Hypothesis 8(i) and  $V \in L^2(\Omega) \cap L^p(\Omega)$ ,  $p > 2$ . Then there exist the **Neumann-to-Dirichlet maps**  $M_{0,\Omega}^N(z)$  and  $M_\Omega^N(z)$  associated with  $(-\Delta - z)$  and  $(-\Delta - z + V)$  on  $\Omega$  defined as*

$$M_{0,\Omega}^N(z): \begin{cases} L^2(\partial\Omega; d\sigma^{n-1}) \rightarrow H^1(\partial\Omega), \\ f \mapsto \gamma_D u_0^N, \end{cases} \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(H_{0,\Omega}^N),$$

where  $u_0^N \in H^{3/2}(\Omega)$  is the unique solution of

$$(-\Delta - z)u_0^N = 0 \text{ on } \Omega, \quad \tilde{\gamma}_N u_0^N = f \text{ on } \partial\Omega,$$

and

$$M_\Omega^N(z): \begin{cases} L^2(\partial\Omega; d\sigma^{n-1}) \rightarrow H^1(\partial\Omega), \\ f \mapsto \gamma_D u^N, \end{cases} \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(H_\Omega^N),$$

where  $u^N \in H^{3/2}(\Omega)$  is the unique solution of

$$(-\Delta - z + V)u^N = 0 \text{ on } \Omega, \quad \tilde{\gamma}_N u^N = f \text{ on } \partial\Omega.$$

The **Dirichlet-to-Neumann maps**

$$M_{0,\Omega}^D(z) = -M_{0,\Omega}^N(z)^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad M_{\Omega}^D(z) = -M_{\Omega}^N(z)^{-1}$$

have the following mapping properties

$$M_{0,\Omega}^D(z) : H^1(\partial\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\partial\Omega; d\sigma^{n-1}), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(H_{0,\Omega}^D),$$

$$M_{\Omega}^D(z) : H^1(\partial\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\partial\Omega; d\sigma^{n-1}), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(H_{\Omega}^D).$$

**Note:**  $M_{0,\Omega}^N(z), M_{\Omega}^N(z) \in \mathcal{B}_{\infty}(L^2(\partial\Omega; d\sigma^{n-1}))$  are **compact**, but  $M_{0,\Omega}^D(z), M_{\Omega}^D(z)$  are densely defined and **unbounded** operators in  $L^2(\partial\Omega; d\sigma^{n-1})$  with domain  $H^1(\partial\Omega)$ .

$M_{\Omega}^D(z)$  and  $M_{\Omega}^N(z)$  were discussed by **Amrein and Pearson (2004)** for  $\Omega =$  the **interior** or **exterior** of a **ball**, and  $V \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ .

Our methods are quite different since we consider **rough**  $\Omega$  (Lipschitz + a bit more) and  $V \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $n = 2, 3$ , for nearly optimal values of  $p$ .



In addition,

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{0,\Omega}^N(z) &= \gamma_D [\gamma_D ((H_{0,\Omega}^N - \bar{z}I_\Omega)^{-1})]^*, \\
M_\Omega^N(z) &= \gamma_D [\gamma_D ((H_\Omega^N - zI_\Omega)^{-1})^*]^*, \\
M_{0,\Omega}^D(z) &= \tilde{\gamma}_N [\gamma_N ((H_{0,\Omega}^D - \bar{z}I_\Omega)^{-1})]^*, \\
M_\Omega^D(z) &= \tilde{\gamma}_N [\gamma_N ((H_\Omega^D - zI_\Omega)^{-1})^*]^*.
\end{aligned}$$

These formulas permit the extension of  $M_\Omega^D(z)$  and  $M_\Omega^N(z)$  to the case  $V \in L^p(\Omega; d^n x)$ , where  $4/3 < p \leq 2$  if  $n = 2$  and  $3/2 < p \leq 2$  if  $n = 3$ , as in Hypothesis 8 (ii).

### A Key Identity:

$$\begin{aligned}
&M_\Omega^D(z)M_{0,\Omega}^D(z)^{-1} - I_{\partial\Omega} \\
&= \overline{-\gamma_N(H_\Omega^D - zI_\Omega)^{-1}V[\gamma_D(H_{0,\Omega}^N - \bar{z}I_\Omega)^{-1}]^*} \in \mathcal{B}_2(L^2(\partial\Omega; d\sigma^{n-1})).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the determinant formula in Theorem 13 can finally be written as:

**Theorem 17.** *Assume Hypothesis 8 and let  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (\sigma(H_\Omega^D) \cup \sigma(H_{0,\Omega}^D) \cup \sigma(H_{0,\Omega}^N))$ . Then,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\det_2 \left( I_\Omega + \overline{u(H_{0,\Omega}^N - zI_\Omega)^{-1}v} \right)}{\det_2 \left( I_\Omega + \overline{u(H_{0,\Omega}^D - zI_\Omega)^{-1}v} \right)} \\ &= \det_2 \left( \overline{I_{\partial\Omega} - \gamma_N(H_\Omega^D - zI_\Omega)^{-1}V[\gamma_D(H_{0,\Omega}^N - \bar{z}I_\Omega)^{-1}]^*} \right) e^{\text{tr}(T(z))} \\ &= \det_2 \left( M_\Omega^D(z)M_{0,\Omega}^D(z)^{-1} \right) e^{\text{tr}(T(z))}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$T(z) = \overline{\gamma_N(H_{0,\Omega}^D - zI_\Omega)^{-1}V(H_\Omega^D - zI_\Omega)^{-1}V[\gamma_D(H_{0,\Omega}^N - \bar{z}I_\Omega)^{-1}]^*}.$$